

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	PLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION		
10/650,537	08/28/2003	Gregory G. Kuelbs	0638MH-40982-US	9033	
38441	7590 06/10/2005		EXAMINER		
	CES OF JAMES E. WAL	SAWHNEY, HARGOBIND S			
1169 N. BUI SUITE 107-3	RLESON BLVD. 328	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
BURLESON, TX 76028			2875		
			DATE MAILED: 06/10/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					_re		
		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	1)		
			37	KUELBS, GREGO	RY G.		
Office Action Summary		Examine	7	Art Unit			
			d S. Sawhney	2875			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - External control	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no ev eply within the stat od will apply and w ute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tir lutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely in the mailing date of this co			
Status							
1)[🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	March 2005					
-	<u> </u>	nis action is r					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 21-69 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 21-69 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
,	The specification is objected to by the Exami						
10)[0) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			,			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmer	nt(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) 🔲 Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date	08)		Patent Application (PTC	O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/650,537

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

1. The response to the restriction requirement filed on March 22, 2005 has been entered. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the restriction requirement has been found persuasive and, therefore, the restriction requirement mailed on February 17, 2005 has been withdrawn. New election/restriction requirement is as follows.

Election/Restrictions

2. As best understanding, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I Figures 1, 5B and 9

Species II Figures 2A- 2C, Figure 3A-3C and 5A

Species III Figure 4A, 6 and 10

Species IV Figures 4B and 8

Species V Figures 4C and 7

Species VI Figure 11

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. None of the claims is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

Application/Control Number: 10/650,537

Art Unit: 2875

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. A telephone call was made to Mr. James E. Walton on February 15, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 2875

Response to Applicant's Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed on March 22, 2005 with respect to the election/restriction requirement have been fully considered but they are moot in view of withdrawal of the requirements mailed on February 17, 2005.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hargobind S. Sawhney whose telephone number is 571 272 2380. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:15 - 2:45.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 571 272 2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HSS 6/7/2005

Stephen Husar
Primary Examiner